

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	Ю.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/052,502		01/23/2002	Hiroshi Shimura	R2184.0131/P131	4994	
24998	7590	02/10/2006		EXAMINER		
		APIRO MORIN & O	LE, BRIAN Q			
2101 L St Washingt	treet, NW on, DC 2	20037	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2621		
				DATE MAILED: 02/10/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief							

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/052,502	SHIMURA, HIROSHI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Brian Q. Le	2623		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 20 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. 🔲 The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. 🔀 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) X will not be entered, or b) . will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 3,4,6-8,10,13,14,16-18 and 20. Claim(s) rejected: 1-2, 5, 9, 11-12, 15 and 19. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13. Other:

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Regarding claims 5 and 15, the amended limitation "a determining part which determines ... wherein said examining part obtains continuity of a foreground part from the number of pixels each having a gray-scale level higher than a predetermined threshold in respective areas obtained from dividing the foreground part" changes the scope of the invention and thus requires further searches and consideration. In addition, regarding the limitation "said plurality of elements each having the same shape ...", the Applicant cited FIG. 2 to show the support for this limitation. However, FIG. 2 does now disclose an actual scale to so that the Examiner can authenticate that the drawing elements having the same shape. Please refer back to MPEP 37 CFR 1.84 and 37 CFR 1.165(a) for further discussion of actual scale of drawings. Also, the cited locations (page. 15, lines 3-10 and Table 2) do not disclose the support for the limitation "area balance".

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding claims 5 and 15, the amended limitation "a determining part which determines ... wherein said examining part obtains continuity of a foreground part from the number of pixels each having a gray-scale level higher than a predetermined threshold in respective areas obtained from dividing the foreground part" changes the scope of the invention and thus requires further searches and consideration. In addition, regarding the limitation "said plurality of elements each having the same shape ...", the Applicant cited FIG. 2 to show the support for this limitation. However, FIG. 2 does now disclose an actual scale to so that the Examiner can authenticate that the drawing elements having the same shape. Please refer back to MPEP 37 CFR 1.84 and 37 CFR 1.165(a) for further discussion of actual scale of drawings. Also, the cited locations (page. 15, lines 3-10 and Table 2) do not disclose the support for the limitation "area balance".

2